

| | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/670,133 | MACAULAY, IAIN CAMERON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David E. Bochna | 3679 |

All Participants:

(1) David E. Bochna.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Walter Grollitsch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A phone call was made by the Examiner to discuss the after final amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Grollitsch authorized the cancellation of claims 32 and 34 to put the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)